

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,977	07/08/2003	Masaaki Hiroki	0553-0228.01	8806	
75	7590 11/02/2004			EXAMINER	
Edward D. Ma	nzo	PARKER, KENNETH			
	Cook, Alex, McFarron, Manzo, Cummings & Mehler, Ltd.			PAPER NUMBER	
200 West Adam		2871			
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	M k
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/614,977	HIROKI, MASAAKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth A Parker	2871
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the second	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 3	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 15-36 is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-36</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu		respired
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	i list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	·	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St	3/08) 5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/8/2003</u> .	6) [] Other:	 •

Application/Control Number: 10/614,977

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Surati et al 6456339.

Sutari discloses brightening neighboring pixels in column 10, lines 5-22. Identifying the coordinates and detecting are inherent to any such method.

Therefore, these claims are anticipated by the reference.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/614,977

Art Unit: 2871

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 15-32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6618115.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they differ only in minor wording.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth A Parker whose telephone number is 571-272-2298. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,977 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Keineth A Parker Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871